

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x  
FRANCISCO MELO-CORDERO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

21-CV-4837 (LAK)

WINDELL I. ROBINSON, et al.,

Defendants.  
----- x

**ORDER**

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, *District Judge*.

Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The complaint and amended complaint fail adequately to allege the existence of subject matter jurisdiction because, perhaps among other things, it fails adequately to allege:

- ☒ The citizenship of one or more natural persons. *See, e.g., Sun Printing & Publishing Ass'n v. Edwards*, 194 U.S. 377 (1904); *Leveraged Leasing Administration Corp. v. PacifiCorp Capital, Inc.*, 87 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 1996).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more corporations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more partnerships. *See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 195 (1990).
- ☒ The exact nature and citizenship of one or more alleged limited liability companies. *See Handlesman v. Bedford Village Green Assocs. L.P.*, 213 F.3d 48, 52 (2d Cir. 2000).

Absent the filing, on or before September 24, 2024, of a second amended complaint, amended solely so as to allege adequately the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, this action will be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 10, 2024



\_\_\_\_\_  
Lewis A. Kaplan  
United States District Judge